

# MA 440 Homework 7

S. Schechter

October 13, 2008

1. Gintis, problem 4.31 (a) and (c). To begin, ignore the sentence that says “We can normalize . . .,” and derive the payoff matrix, explaining each entry. Your matrix should look just like the one in the text, except that the payoff to player 1 in the lower right box will be  $(1 - \alpha)b - \alpha p = 1 - \alpha(b + p)$ . The matrix in the book is obtained by taking your matrix, dividing all of player 1’s payoffs by  $b + p$ , replacing each term  $\frac{b}{b+p}$  in the resulting expressions by a new variable, say  $b'$ , and then “simplifying” by changing  $b'$  to  $b$ . Now do parts (a) and (c) using the payoff matrix in the text.
2. Gintis, problem 4.25. Player 1 has three strategies (pick 1, pick 2, pick 3). Player 2 has five strategies:
  - (1) Guess 1. If told it is low, guess 2.
  - (2) Guess 1. If told it is low, guess 3.
  - (3) Guess 2. If told it is high, guess 1. If told it is low, guess 3.
  - (4) Guess 3. If told it is high, guess 1.
  - (5) Guess 3. If told it is high, guess 2.
- (a) For part (a), just do the following.
  - i. Construct the payoff matrix, and check your work on p. 420.
  - ii. Show that there are no pure strategy Nash equilibria.
  - iii. To look for mixed strategy Nash equilibria, let  $\sigma_1 = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$  be a mixed strategy for player 1, and let  $\sigma_2 = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5)$  be a mixed strategy for player 2. Find a Nash equilibrium in which all player 1’s strategies are active, and only player 2’s second, third, and fourth strategies are active.

- iv. Determine whether there is a Nash equilibrium in which all player 1's strategies are active, and only player 2's first, third, and fifth strategies are active.
  - (b) For part (b), find the expected payoff to player 2 from the mixed strategy Nash equilibrium you found in part (a)(iii).
3. Gintis, problem 4.36. **Don't turn in.**
4. Gintis, problem 4.41. **Don't turn in.**