

# Projective Equivalence of Polynomial Subspaces

Peter Crooks, Robert Milson

UNC, 2009

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces
- The Wronski map

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces
- The Wronski map
- Reduction to the equivalence problem for binary forms

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces
- The Wronski map
- Reduction to the equivalence problem for binary forms
  - finite symmetries

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces
- The Wronski map
- Reduction to the equivalence problem for binary forms
  - finite symmetries
  - infinite symmetries

# Talk outline

- The equivalence problem for finite-dimensional polynomial subspaces
- The Wronski map
- Reduction to the equivalence problem for binary forms
  - finite symmetries
  - infinite symmetries
- Concluding remarks

# The equivalence problem for polynomial subspaces

## Definition

- $\mathcal{P}_n(z) = \text{span}\{1, z, \dots, z^n\}$
- $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$ : the variety of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{P}_n$

# The equivalence problem for polynomial subspaces

## Definition

- $\mathcal{P}_n(z) = \text{span}\{1, z, \dots, z^n\}$
- $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$ : the variety of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{P}_n$

## $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ : the group of fractional linear transformations

- $z = \frac{a\bar{z}+b}{c\bar{z}+d}, ad - bc = 1$

# The equivalence problem for polynomial subspaces

## Definition

- $\mathcal{P}_n(z) = \text{span}\{1, z, \dots, z^n\}$
- $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$ : the variety of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{P}_n$

## $\text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ : the group of fractional linear transformations

- $z = \frac{a\hat{z}+b}{c\hat{z}+d}, \quad ad - bc = 1$
- $\hat{p}(\hat{z}) = (c\hat{z} + d)^n p(z), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$

# The equivalence problem for polynomial subspaces

## Definition

- $\mathcal{P}_n(z) = \text{span}\{1, z, \dots, z^n\}$
- $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$ : the variety of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{P}_n$

## $\text{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ : the group of fractional linear transformations

- $z = \frac{a\hat{z}+b}{c\hat{z}+d}, ad - bc = 1$
- $\hat{p}(\hat{z}) = (c\hat{z} + d)^n p(z), p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$

## The equivalence problem

For polynomial subspaces  $U, \hat{U} \in \mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$  with bases  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  and  $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_k \in \hat{U}$ , write  $U \sim \hat{U}$  if there exist a fractional linear transformation and a  $k \times k$  invertible matrix  $A_{ij}$  such that

$$\hat{p}_i(\hat{z}) = (c\hat{z} + d)^n \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij} p_j \left( \frac{a\hat{z} + b}{c\hat{z} + d} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

# Degree of the Wronskian $W(p_1, \dots, p_k)$

## Proposition

For  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\ell = n + 1 - k$  (the codimension),

$$\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) \leq k\ell$$

with equality iff  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ .

## Degree of the Wronskian $W(p_1, \dots, p_k)$

### Proposition

For  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\ell = n + 1 - k$  (the codimension),

$$\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) \leq k\ell$$

with equality iff  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ .

- Without loss of generality,  $p_i(z) = z^{\nu_i} + \text{lower degree terms}$ , where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$  are the **degree pivots**.

## Degree of the Wronskian $W(p_1, \dots, p_k)$

### Proposition

For  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\ell = n + 1 - k$  (the codimension),

$$\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) \leq k\ell$$

with equality iff  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ .

- Without loss of generality,  $p_i(z) = z^{\nu_i} + \text{lower degree terms}$ , where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$  are the **degree pivots**.
- Define a partition  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$  by setting  $\lambda_{k+1-i} = \nu_i - (i - 1)$  (the number of non-pivots to the left of  $\nu_i$ ).

## Degree of the Wronskian $W(p_1, \dots, p_k)$

### Proposition

For  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\ell = n + 1 - k$  (the codimension),

$$\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) \leq k\ell$$

with equality iff  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ .

- Without loss of generality,  $p_i(z) = z^{\nu_i} +$  lower degree terms, where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$  are the **degree pivots**.
- Define a partition  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$  by setting  $\lambda_{k+1-i} = \nu_i - (i - 1)$  (the number of non-pivots to the left of  $\nu_i$ ).
- Observe that  $\nu_i = n - i$  iff  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \ell$ .

## Degree of the Wronskian $W(p_1, \dots, p_k)$

### Proposition

For  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $\ell = n + 1 - k$  (the codimension),

$$\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) \leq k\ell$$

with equality iff  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ .

- Without loss of generality,  $p_i(z) = z^{\nu_i} +$  lower degree terms, where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$  are the **degree pivots**.
- Define a partition  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$  by setting  $\lambda_{k+1-i} = \nu_i - (i - 1)$  (the number of non-pivots to the left of  $\nu_i$ ).
- Observe that  $\nu_i = n - i$  iff  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \ell$ .
- Moreover,  $\deg W(p_1, \dots, p_k) = \deg W(z^{\nu_1}, \dots, z^{\nu_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ .

# The Plücker embedding

- Identify a subspace  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  with primitive multi-vector span  $p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k$  where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  is a basis.

# The Plücker embedding

- Identify a subspace  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  with primitive multi-vector span  $p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k$  where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  is a basis.
- The resulting embedding  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n$  realizes the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  as a projective variety.

# The Plücker embedding

- Identify a subspace  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  with primitive multi-vector span  $p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k$  where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  is a basis.
- The resulting embedding  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n$  realizes the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  as a projective variety.
- The defining equations are the Plücker relations:

$$\phi_{[a_1 \dots a_k \phi_{b_1}] \dots} = 0, \quad \phi \in \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n.$$

# The Plücker embedding

- Identify a subspace  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  with primitive multi-vector span  $p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k$  where  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in U$  is a basis.
- The resulting embedding  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n$  realizes the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  as a projective variety.
- The defining equations are the Plücker relations:

$$\phi_{[a_1 \dots a_k \phi_{b_1}] \dots} = 0, \quad \phi \in \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n.$$

- The degree counts the standard Young tableaux with  $\# \text{rows} \leq k$  and  $\# \text{columns} \leq \ell$ :

$$d(k, \ell) = \frac{(k-1)!!(\ell-1)!!}{n!!} (k\ell)!, \quad \text{where } j!! = 1!2! \cdots j!,$$

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- The projective Wronski map is the restriction to the Grassmannian,  $W : \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- The projective Wronski map is the restriction to the Grassmannian,  $W : \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$
- The projective Wronski map is surjective with finite pre-images. Indeed, generically, the cardinality of the pre-image is  $d(k, \ell)$ .

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- The projective Wronski map is the restriction to the Grassmannian,  $W : \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$
- The projective Wronski map is surjective with finite pre-images. Indeed, generically, the cardinality of the pre-image is  $d(k, \ell)$ .
- Proof: Since  $\dim \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n = k\ell$ , the projective Wronski map is a central projection with center  $[\ker W] \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- The projective Wronski map is the restriction to the Grassmannian,  $W : \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$
- The projective Wronski map is surjective with finite pre-images. Indeed, generically, the cardinality of the pre-image is  $d(k, \ell)$ .
- Proof: Since  $\dim \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n = k\ell$ , the projective Wronski map is a central projection with center  $[\ker W] \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  equivariance: the linear Wronski map is the highest weight projection from  $\Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n$  to the irrep  $\mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .

# Properties of the Wronski map

- The Wronskian defines the linear map  $W : \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- The projective Wronski map is the restriction to the Grassmannian,  $W : \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$
- The projective Wronski map is surjective with finite pre-images. Indeed, generically, the cardinality of the pre-image is  $d(k, \ell)$ .
- Proof: Since  $\dim \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n = k\ell$ , the projective Wronski map is a central projection with center  $[\ker W] \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  equivariance: the linear Wronski map is the highest weight projection from  $\Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n$  to the irrep  $\mathcal{P}_{k\ell}$ .
- $\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  equivariance: for  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$ , the projective Wronski map counts the intersections of the  $(k - 1)$ -dimensional flat  $[U]$  with the  $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional developable variety generated by a  $\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ -invariant rational normal curve in  $n = (k + \ell - 1)$ -dimensional projective space  $\mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_n$ . Counting multiplicities, there are exactly  $k\ell$  such intersections.

# Geometric proof of equivariance

- Set

$$N(z) = (w - z)^k \wedge \cdots \wedge (w - z)^n \in \Lambda^k \mathcal{P}_n(w) \otimes \mathcal{P}_n(z).$$

For polynomials  $p_i(w) = \sum_{j=0}^n p^{(j)}(z)(w - z)^j / j!$  we have

$$W(p_1, \dots, p_k)(z)(1 \wedge w \wedge \cdots \wedge w^n) = (k - 1)!! p_1(w) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_k(w) \wedge N(z)$$

- Regard  $z \mapsto (w - z)^n$  as a realization of the rational normal curve in  $\mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_n(w)$ . The 1-parameter family of corresponding  $(\ell - 1)$  dimensional osculating flats is  $[N(z)] \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_n$ .
- Let  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  with basis  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ . By above formula, the roots of the Wronskian  $W(U) = [W(p_1, \dots, p_k)]$  correspond to points on the rational normal curve where the  $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional flat  $[N(z)]$  touches the  $(k - 1)$  dimensional flat  $U$  in the ambient  $(k + \ell - 1)$  dimensional projective space  $\mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_n$ .
- The order of the root corresponds to the dimension of the intersection plus 1.
- Equivariance follows from  $\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ -invariance of the rational normal curve.

# The equivalence problem for binary forms

## Fundamental covariants

Identify  $q \in \mathcal{P}_N$  (polynomial) and  $Q(x, y) = y^N q(x/y)$  (binary form).

- $H = (Q, Q)^{(2)}$  (Hessian),  $T = (Q, H)^{(1)}$  and  $U = (Q, T)^{(1)}$
- $J^2 = T^2/H^3$  and  $K = U/H^2$

- Here  $(Q, R)^{(r)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \frac{\partial^r Q}{\partial x^{r-i} \partial y^i} \frac{\partial^r R}{\partial x^i \partial y^{r-i}}$  is the  $r$ th transvectant.

# The equivalence problem for binary forms

## Fundamental covariants

Identify  $q \in \mathcal{P}_N$  (polynomial) and  $Q(x, y) = y^N q(x/y)$  (binary form).

- $H = (Q, Q)^{(2)}$  (Hessian),  $T = (Q, H)^{(1)}$  and  $U = (Q, T)^{(1)}$
- $J^2 = T^2/H^3$  and  $K = U/H^2$

- Here  $(Q, R)^{(r)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \frac{\partial^r Q}{\partial x^{r-i} \partial y^i} \frac{\partial^r R}{\partial x^i \partial y^{r-i}}$  is the  $r$ th transvectant.

## Theorem (Kogan, Olver)

Two binary forms  $Q, \hat{Q}$  are  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  equivalent if either  $H = \hat{H} = 0$  or there exists a fractional linear transformation  $z = (a\hat{z} + b)/(c\hat{z} + d)$  such that  $J^2(z) = \hat{J}^2(\hat{z})$  and  $K(z) = \hat{K}(\hat{z})$ .

Furthermore, the group of symmetries  $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$  is 2-dimensional (translations and scalings) if  $H = 0$ , and 1-dimensional (scalings only) if  $H \neq 0$  but  $J^2$  is a constant. It is finite in all other cases.

# The finite symmetry reduction

## Proposition

Let  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  be a polynomial subspace and  $Q = W(U) \in \mathcal{G}_1 \mathcal{P}_{kl}$  the Wronskian covariant. Then,  $\text{Aut } U \subset \text{Aut } Q$ .

## Theorem

- Let  $U, \hat{U} \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  be polynomial subspaces,  $Q, \hat{Q}$  the corresponding Wronskians and  $H, J, K, \hat{H}, \hat{J}, \hat{K}$  the fundamental covariants of the Wronskians.
- Suppose that  $H, \hat{H} \neq 0$  and that  $J, \hat{J}$  are not constants.
- Then  $U \sim \hat{U}$  if and only if  $J^2(z) = \hat{J}^2(\hat{z})$  and  $K(z) = \hat{K}(\hat{z})$  for some fractional linear transformation  $\hat{z} = g_1(z)$  and if  $\hat{U} = g_2 \cdot g_1 \cdot U$  for some  $g_2 \in \text{Aut } U$  (finite).

# Infinite symmetries

## Definition

Say that  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  is a monomial subspace if  $U = \text{span}\{z^{\nu_1}, \dots, z^{\nu_k}\}$ , where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $U$  is projectively equivalent to a monomial subspace.
- $Q = W(U)$  has one or two distinct roots;
- $H[Q] = 0$  or  $J^2[Q]$  is constant;
- $\text{Aut}(Q)$  is infinite.

# Infinite symmetries

## Definition

Say that  $U \in \mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  is a monomial subspace if  $U = \text{span}\{z^{\nu_1}, \dots, z^{\nu_k}\}$ , where  $0 \leq \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k \leq n$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

- $U \sim \mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ ;
- $Q = W(U)$  has one distinct root;
- $H[Q] = 0$  ;
- $\text{Aut}(Q)$  is infinite with  $\dim = 2$ .

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?
- The notion of apolarity is also relevant  
Application: classify all subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  that admit a basis of  $n$ th powers.

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?
- The notion of apolarity is also relevant  
Application: classify all subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_k \mathcal{P}_n$  that admit a basis of  $n$ th powers.
- The real Wronski map is of great current interest. See the recent publications regarding the resolution of the Shapiro conjecture.

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?
- The notion of apolarity is also relevant  
Application: classify all subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$  that admit a basis of  $n$ th powers.
- The real Wronski map is of great current interest. See the recent publications regarding the resolution of the Shapiro conjecture.
- Interesting applications to differential operators with invariant polynomial subspaces: quasi-exact solvability and orthogonal polynomials.

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?
- The notion of apolarity is also relevant  
Application: classify all subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$  that admit a basis of  $n$ th powers.
- The real Wronski map is of great current interest. See the recent publications regarding the resolution of the Shapiro conjecture.
- Interesting applications to differential operators with invariant polynomial subspaces: quasi-exact solvability and orthogonal polynomials.
- See arxiv preprints for more details.

## Concluding remarks

- Classical invariant theory has an interesting generalization when we consider subspaces of binary forms/polynomials.
- Key device is the equivariant Wronski map
- Question: does there exist a polynomial subspace  $U$  such that  $\text{Aut } U$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut } W(U)$ ?
- The notion of apolarity is also relevant  
Application: classify all subspaces  $\mathcal{G}_k\mathcal{P}_n$  that admit a basis of  $n$ th powers.
- The real Wronski map is of great current interest. See the recent publications regarding the resolution of the Shapiro conjecture.
- Interesting applications to differential operators with invariant polynomial subspaces: quasi-exact solvability and orthogonal polynomials.
- See arxiv preprints for more details.
- Thank You!